



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/765,954

01/29/2004

Sung-hee Hwang

1793.1164

2323

49455 7590 07/15/2008

STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP
1400 EYE STREET, NW
SUITE 300
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

DINH, TAN X

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2627

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/15/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/765,954	Applicant(s) HWANG ET AL.	
	Examiner TAN X. DINH	Art Unit 2627	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 May 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 22-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2627

1) A Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/07/2008 has been entered.

2) The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ 2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ 2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2627

3) Claims *1,4,5 and 22-24* are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of copending Application No. **11/949,348**. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Claim(s) 1-3 of copending application No. **11/949,348** recite all the subject matter as claimed in claim(s) *1,4,5 and 22-24* of this instant application, such as a write-once disc with at least one record layer, comprises at least one temporary defect management area in which temporary defect information and temporary defect management information are recorded, said temporary defect information comprising position information on a defect area and position information on a replacement area for replacing the defect area, and said temporary defect management information comprising a pointer indicating an area in which the temporary defect information is, and an access information area in which location information is recorded, said location information regarding an area in which updated predetermined information is recorded, is wherein the location information comprises a physical or logical address of the area in which the temporary defect information is recorded, *except to specifically show that* the location information comprises physical or logical address. However, this different is

Art Unit: 2627

not a patentable weight since the location information of any data in recording medium always represent by either physical or logical address, further, the body of these claims recite the same structures and/or functions with each other and this would not make them a patentable distinction.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

4) Claims *1,4,5 and 22-24* are further provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims *1-3* of copending Application No. **11/949,388**. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Claim(s) *1-3* of copending application No. **11/949,388** recite all the subject matter as claimed in claim(s) *1,4,5 and 22-24* of this instant application, such as a write-once disc with at least one record layer, comprises at least one temporary defect management area in which temporary defect information and temporary defect management information are recorded, said temporary defect information comprising position information on a defect area and position information on a replacement area for replacing the defect area, and said temporary defect management information comprising a

Art Unit: 2627

pointer indicating an area in which the temporary defect information is, and an access information area in which location information is recorded, said location information regarding an area in which updated predetermined information is recorded, is wherein the location information comprises a physical or logical address of the area in which the temporary defect information is recorded, *except to specifically show that* the location information comprises physical or logical address. However, this different is not a patentable weight since the location information of any data in recording medium always represent by either physical or logical address, further, the body of these claims recite the same structures and/or functions with each other and this would not make them a patentable distinction.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

5) Claims *1,4,5 and 22-24* are further provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of copending Application No. **11/949,441**. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Art Unit: 2627

Claim(s) 1-3 of copending application No. 11/949,441 recite all the subject matter as claimed in claim(s) 1,4,5 and 22-24 of this instant application, such as a write-once disc with at least one record layer, comprises at least one temporary defect management area in which temporary defect information and temporary defect management information are recorded, said temporary defect information comprising position information on a defect area and position information on a replacement area for replacing the defect area, and said temporary defect management information comprising a pointer indicating an area in which the temporary defect information is, and an access information area in which location information is recorded, said location information regarding an area in which updated predetermined information is recorded, is wherein the location information comprises a physical or logical address of the area in which the temporary defect information is recorded, *except to specifically show that* the location information comprises physical or logical address. However, this different is not a patentable weight since the location information of any data in recording medium always represent by either physical or logical address, further, the body of these claims recite the same structures and/or functions with each other and this would not make them a patentable distinction.

Art Unit: 2627

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

6) Claims *1,4,5 and 22-24* are further provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of copending Application No. **11/949,302**. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Claim(s) 1-3 of copending application No. **11/949,302** recite all the subject matter as claimed in claim(s) *1,4,5 and 22-24* of this instant application, such as a write-once disc with at least one record layer, comprises at least one temporary defect management area in which temporary defect information and temporary defect management information are recorded, said temporary defect information comprising position information on a defect area and position information on a replacement area for replacing the defect area, and said temporary defect management information comprising a pointer indicating an area in which the temporary defect information is, and an access information area in which location information is recorded, said location information regarding an area in which updated predetermined information is recorded, is wherein the location information comprises a physical or logical

Art Unit: 2627

address of the area in which the temporary defect information is recorded, *except to specifically show that* the location information comprises physical or logical address. However, this different is not a patentable weight since the location information of any data in recording medium always represent by either physical or logical address, further, the body of these claims recite the same structures and/or functions with each other and this would not make them a patentable distinction.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

7) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8) This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the

Art Unit: 2627

obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9) Claims 1,4,5 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SHIN(6,529,458).

SHIN discloses an optical disc with at least one record layer as claimed in claim 1, comprises at least one temporary defective management area in which temporary defect information and temporary defect management information comprising a pointer indicating an area in which the temporary defect information is recorded, are recorded (Fig.5, defective management area, DMA1, DMA2, DMA3, DMA4. Figure 6, step 607 write data on defective area at position the write command designated), an access information area in which location information regarding an area in which the updated predetermined information is recorded, is recorded (Fig.5 LIA, data area and LOA are all access information areas), wherein the location information comprises a physical or logical address of the area in which the temporary defect information is recorded (Fig.6, step 608 for storing position information of defective area, position information always contains either physical or logical

Art Unit: 2627

address of the area in which the temporary defect information is recorded. See also figure 9, steps 906, 907, 908 and 909), *except to specifically show that* the temporary defect management area comprises positions information of defective area and replacing area. It would have been obvious to someone within the level of skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use temporary defect management areas (Fig.5, defective management areas, DMA1, DMA2, DMA3, DMA4) in SHIN's optical disc for storing positions information of defective area and replacing area as claimed. The rationale is as follows:

a) It is well known in the optical recording art that the temporary defect management area is used to store information for recovery and/or correcting data, such as, information on position of defective areas, information for replacing defective areas, information of pointers for indicating areas which defective information would be recorded, information about update defective data, etc., and

b) The defective management areas, DMA1, DMA2, DMA3, DMA4 in SHIN's optical disc (Fig.5) are used for performing these functions. Therefore, anyone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would have been motivated to use the defective management areas (DMA1, DMA2, DMA3, DMA4) of SHIN for

Art Unit: 2627

storing position information of defective area and replacement area as claimed.

Apparatus claim 22 drawn to the apparatus of using the corresponding recording medium claimed in claim 1. Therefore, apparatus claim are rejected for the same reasons of anticipation (obviousness) as used above.

As to claims 4 and 5, SHIN shows the location information is recorded in access area several times and when the updated information reaches a predetermined number (Fig.5, DMA1, DMA2, DMA3, DMA4 and figure 9, steps 906-909, the location information can be recorded at any number of times).

As to claim 23, SHIN shows controls the recording/reading unit to record the location information in the access information area several times (Fig.5, DMA1, DMA2, DMA3, DMA4 and figure 9, steps 906-909, the location information can be recorded at any number of times).

As to claim 24, SHIN shows to record the location information in the access information area whenever a predetermined number of blocks of the area are filled with information (Fig.5, DMA1, DMA2, DMA3, DMA4 and figure 9, steps 906-909, the location information can be recorded at any times during recording process).

Art Unit: 2627

10) Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The new features add to independent claims are found to be obvious to someone within the level of skill in the art as indicated above. For that reasons, the claims are still found rejectable.

11) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant is reminded that in amending in response to a rejection of claims (if the rejection involves with any applicable arts), the patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited and the objection made. Applicant must also show how the amendments avoid such references and objections. See 37 CFR § 1.111(c).

Form **PTO-892** is attached herein.

12) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **TAN X. DINH** whose telephone number is **(571)272-7586**. The examiner can normally be reached on **MONDAY-FRIDAY** from **9:00AM** to **5:00PM**.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the **Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR)** system.

Art Unit: 2627

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either **Private PAIR** or **Public PAIR**. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the **Private PAIR** system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at **866-217-9197** (toll-free).

/TAN Xuan DINH/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627
July 10, 2008